A method for automatic classification of large and small myelinated fibre populations in peripheral nerves
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The statistical analysis of morphometric data collected from biopsies of human superficial peroneal nerve is complicated by the heterogeneity of the population of myelinated fibres. In order to make separate statistical analyses of the subpopulations of large and small fibres we have developed a computer program (written in PASCAL) for their automatic separation.

The method is based on a dynamic centres clustering algorithm and was applied to the multifactorial space defined by the principal component analysis of the morphometric variables: axonal diameter, myelin sheath thickness, circularity index and g-ratio.

The classification technique was applied to measurements obtained from 5 control nerves, and to simulated data, and in each case it gave consistent Gaussian subpopulations with no need for the introduction of supplementary variables.

Introduction

The widespread development of microcomputers and the parallel decrease in their cost during the last decade, has resulted in their presence in many laboratories, providing morphologists and neurobiologists with semi-automatic tools for data acquisition and processing (Curcio and Sloan, 1981; Barioz et al., 1982; Pullen, 1982, 1984; Allpress et al., 1983; Mize, 1983; Saxod et al., 1983). In accumulating more morphometric information biologists face the problem of statistical analysis of...
their data. If frequency distributions happen to follow ordinary unimodal density functions such as normal or gamma laws the usual armoury of statistical analyses is adequate. Otherwise, it is necessary to find other methods or to elaborate specific mathematical models with which to describe the particular frequency distribution of the data. Unfortunately, this second situation confronts neurobiologists when conducting morphometric analyses of peripheral nerves. In particular, analysis of the frequency distributions of calibres of myelinated fibres in human superficial peroneal nerve (Fig. 1) and sural nerve (which are nerves commonly investigated in biopsies in hospital routine) reveals a great heterogeneity of fibre diameters resulting from the mixture of two subpopulations of large and small fibres (Origuchi, 1981; Grosse et al., 1981; Stoebner et al., 1981). A similar heterogeneity of axon calibres has been described in peripheral nerves of several animal species (Mira, 1978; Friede and Beuche, 1985). Some authors have pointed out the impossibility of conducting a significant statistical analysis of the relationship between axon calibres and thickness of myelin sheaths in such situations (Bronson et al., 1978; Berthold et al., 1983) and they suggest that these bimodal frequency distributions should be reduced to their Gaussian subcomponents to allow significant study. In the past, various methods for dividing univariate bimodal frequency distributions, including simple graphic methods (Harding, 1949; Bhattacharya, 1967) and sophisticated computing methods generally based on iterative maximum likelihood estimates (Day, 1969; Hosmer, 1973; Ling and Tolhurst, 1983) or moment recursion relations (Court, 1949) or using Fourier analysis (Gregor, 1969), have been described. Another approach is to design an appropriate mathematical model with which to represent multimodal frequency distributions. In a previous paper, we described such a method for the analysis of the distribution of myelinated fibre calibres (Usson and Drouet d'Aubigny, 1985) based on the use of probability density functions of the canonical exponential family (Cobb, 1978; Cobb et al., 1983). By means of these we have been able to compare the frequency distributions of fibre calibres and to separate large and small fibre populations using the antimodes of
functions as segregation thresholds. But this crude method of separation remained unsatisfactory because in the overlapping regions of the subpopulations it was not possible to distinguish between fibres of the same diameter belonging to different groups. It became obvious that the single criterion of calibre was not sufficient and we decided to adopt an approach involving multifactorial analysis.

The aim of this paper is to describe the automatic method of multivariate classification of myelinated fibres we developed and which is now used in our laboratory * for the analysis of normal and pathological human superficial peroneal nerves.

Materials and Methods

Tissue preparation

Specimens of superficial peroneal nerves were taken from subjects in a post-traumatic coma requiring circulatory and respiratory assistance. Segments of 3 cm length of the sensory portion of the nerves were exposed and excised from the distal third of the leg. Sensory nerve conduction velocity and action potential amplitude were measured in each case, and appeared normal. The specimens were fixed for 1 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2, at room temperature. After post fixation for half an hour in 1% OsO₄ in the same buffer, the pieces were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and embedded in Epon. Ultrathin sections were cut and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and mounted on single-hole Formvar-covered grids. Electron micrographs were taken with a Philips 201 transmission electron microscope and after printing the final magnification was ×2800.

Morphometric measurements

Using a Hipad EDT11 digitizing tablet (Bausch & Lomb) connected to a microcomputer (Apple III and Apple Macintosh), the external contour of the myelin sheath and the axonal contour of each myelinated fibre were recorded and stored as files of Cartesian coordinates \((x_i, y_i)\). From these values the cross-sectional areas \((A)\) and the perimeters \((P)\) were calculated using the following formulas (Pullen, 1984):

\[
A = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(x_i + x_{i+1})(y_{i+1} - y_i) - (y_i + y_{i+1})(x_{i+1} - x_i)]
\]

\[
P = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2}
\]

where \(n\) is the number of points of the closed contour and where coordinates of the first point \((x_1, y_1)\) are identical to those of the last point \((x_n, y_n)\). External

* and in the Service de Microscopie Electronique et Pathologie Ultrastructurale, Centre Hospitalier Régional de Grenoble, France.
diameters and axonal diameters were deduced from external and axonal perimeters assuming axonal shape to be cylindrical. For each fibre the myelin sheath thickness was calculated as being half the difference between external diameter and axonal diameter and the g-ratio was obtained by dividing axonal diameter by external diameter. Finally, to express deformation of fibre contour from an ideal circular section we calculated a circularity index using the following formula:

\[ C_i = \frac{4\pi Ae}{Pe^2} \]

where \( C_i \) is the circularity index, ranging from 1 for a circle to 0 as the contour deviates from the circular shape, \( Ae \) is the surface area and \( Pe \) is the perimeter delimited by the external contour of the fibre.

Mathematical tools

The software was written in standard PASCAL and implemented on Apple microcomputers (Apple III and Macintosh).

Principal component analysis. The classification algorithm was not directly applied to the raw space defined by the morphometric variables. As our aim was to obtain the best segmentation between the two populations of fibres it was more judicious to work on a transformed space within which the heterogeneity of data was emphasized. Principal component analysis (PCA) is a multivariate statistical tool (Kendall, 1975; Charfield and Collins, 1980; Lebart et al., 1982; Harris, 1984) which suited our purpose in the sense of building a geometrical space enhancing the differences between data points. The first step of PCA consists of normalizing data: for each variable the arithmetic mean and standard deviation are calculated, and then the value of the mean is subtracted from each data point and the result divided by the standard deviation. This normalization offers the advantage of reducing any possible distortion effect related to the metric of each variable (linear metric for diameters and sheath thickness versus logarithmic metric for g-ratio and circularity index) or their scale range (0 to +\( \infty \) for diameters and sheath thickness versus 0 to 1 in the case of g-ratio and circularity index).

As data are now homogeneous in scale a correlation matrix can be built which contains the values of correlation coefficients for all possible pairs of variables. The examination of correlation coefficients permits the finding of redundancies inside the set of data, followed by elimination of all redundant variables from further analysis (i.e. those which are strongly correlated with another variable). The consequence of this process is a reduction of the dimensionality of the factorial space to its significant vectors alone (morphometric variables). The possibility of reducing the number of variables is a most interesting feature when large iterative programs such as PCA and Clustering Algorithm have to be implemented in a microcomputer environment, that is, with limited memory and slow computation speed.

The next step of PCA is diagonalization of the correlation matrix obtained after the redundant variables have been eliminated (Kendall, 1975), in order to find its eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The latter are used to calculate the new coordinates of each data point. Consequently, PCA may be understood as switching axes from
those initially defined by the variables to those calculated from the scatter properties of the data. The operations of rotation to achieve the final geometrical transformation have been described by Yelnik et al. (1983).

**Classification algorithm.** The classification software is derived from an iterative clustering algorithm elaborated by Diday (1971) and known as the *dynamic clusters* method. Diday’s algorithm performs aggregation of the data points with reference to series of “standard points” chosen a priori in the data. In our program we adopt a slightly different approach by aggregating the data points around moving centres of gravity as described later in this section. This method has the advantage that it can be applied to any data set without the a priori knowledge of the characteristics of the clusters required in the *dynamic clusters* method. Our method consists of aggregating the \( n \) data points around \( k \) moving centres (where \( k \) is the expected number of classes) as a function of the Euclidian distance of each point from every center. At the end of each iteration step, new centres are calculated on the basis of the groups obtained, and the aggregation process is repeated until the centres are stabilized. To illustrate the principle of the method an example of the classification of two-dimensional data into two subpopulations \( (k = 2) \) is given in Fig. 2. At the beginning (iteration 0) two data points are randomly taken as initial centres of the two classes. The Euclidian distances separating data points from these centres are calculated and each point is subsequently attached to the nearest centre. Two groups of data points are thus obtained and their centres of gravity are calculated. These centres are used as new aggregation centres for the next step (iteration 1) of the classification.
new location of the centre of gravity and its location in the previous step is calculated. The sum ($\Sigma d$) of these distances is used as a measure of stabilization of the centres of the groups. The classification process converges rapidly and after a small number of iterations both groups and centres of gravity are stabilized, that is when $\Sigma d$ is zero or less than an arbitrary threshold ($10^{-7}$). In our example, the stabilization occurs between iterations 3 and 4, and there is no need to repeat the process further.

The rate of convergence depends on a small number of factors such as the number of classes one wants to obtain, the random choice of initial centres in the first step and the existence of significant subpopulations in the raw data. However, depending on the choice of initial centres, the final result of classification may differ for a few data points located near the border between the two groups. To minimize misclassification of these points it is necessary to repeat the classification process several times (an odd number from 5 to 11). Each point is then attached to the group it was most frequently associated with.

Simulated data. The accuracy of the method was tested on simulated data sets within which every element was known and identified. These were created by mixing stochastically generated populations whose statistical parameters (proportions, means, variances and covariances) were imposed. Therefore, after classification has been performed on simulated data one can establish an index of classification failure by scoring elements which belong originally to a particular subpopulation but were misclassified with another.

Results

The results illustrated in Figs. 1, 3, 4 and 5 and presented in Tables I and II correspond to a fascicle containing 655 myelinated fibres whose characteristics are summarized in Table IV (case 1095).

Principal component analysis

Five available morphometric variables were submitted to Principal Component Analysis. Table I shows the values of correlation coefficients obtained for each possible pair of variables. Simple examination of these values shows that the external diameter is strongly correlated with both the axonal diameter (0.9313) and myelin sheath thickness (0.9157). This is not surprising, since external diameter depends only on axonal diameter and myelin sheath thickness. As it gave redundant information the external diameter was then removed from the analysis. The absolute values of the correlation coefficients obtained for the 4 remaining variables are less than the value of 0.8 that we arbitrarily chose as the redundancy threshold (Kendall, 1975; Charfield and Collins, 1980). Accordingly, these were retained for the rest of the analysis. The eigenvalues of the correlation matrix obtained by diagonalization are presented in Table II as well as the percentages of explained variance associated with the four factorial axes. It appears that the value of cumulated variance reaches an amount of 99.41% when the percentages of explained variance associated with
### TABLE I
**PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS - CORRELATION MATRIX OF THE FIVE MORPHOMETRIC VARIABLES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>External diameter</th>
<th>Axonal diameter</th>
<th>Sheath thickness</th>
<th>Circularity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axonal diameter</td>
<td>0.9313</td>
<td>0.7064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheath thickness</td>
<td>0.9157</td>
<td>0.0709</td>
<td>0.4431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circularity index</td>
<td>0.2683</td>
<td>-0.1175</td>
<td>-0.7534</td>
<td>-0.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-Ratio</td>
<td>-0.4544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II
**PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS - EIGENVALUES AND PERCENTAGES OF EXPLAINED VARIANCE ASSOCIATED WITH THE FACTORIAL AXES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Eigenvector</th>
<th>Explained variance</th>
<th>Cumulated variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st factorial axis</td>
<td>2.4046</td>
<td>60.12%</td>
<td>60.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd factorial axis</td>
<td>1.1079</td>
<td>27.70%</td>
<td>87.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd factorial axis</td>
<td>0.4634</td>
<td>11.59%</td>
<td>99.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th factorial axis</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The factorial axes 1, 2 and 3 are summed. That is the three-dimensional scatter space defined by these axes summarizes 99.41% of the total information contained within the original four-dimensional parametric space. The dispersion of data along the fourth factorial axis represents merely 0.59% of the total variance. This value is less than the relative error due to measurement (a raw 1%) and biological variations (commonly assumed to be 5%). The eigenvectors are given in Table III and show the disposition of the original morphological variables with reference to the principal factorial axes. The projections of the variables myelin sheath thickness and g-ratio on the first factorial axis are, respectively, 0.955 and −0.836. This means that the first factorial axis, which represents 60.12% of the total variance, is strongly correlated with these two parameters and in particular with the myelin sheath thickness.

### TABLE III
**PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS - PROJECTIONS OF THE MORPHOMETRIC VARIABLES ON THE FACTORIAL AXES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Axonal diameter</th>
<th>Myelin thickness</th>
<th>Circularity</th>
<th>g-Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st factorial axis</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>−0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd factorial axis</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>−0.542</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd factorial axis</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>−0.141</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th factorial axis</td>
<td>−0.072</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Classification algorithm**

To eliminate variations due to the random positioning of centres in the first iteration step, the classification was repeated 5 times for each data set. The number of iterations necessary to reach convergence ranged from 3 to 12. The final result of the classification into two subpopulations of a real data set consisting of 655 myelinated fibres is shown in Figs. 3–5. The projections of fibres in the scattergrams (Fig. 3) are represented with different symbols corresponding to the groups defined by the automatic classification (square for small fibres, triangle for large fibres). The fibres cluster in two distinct places with different densities. These clusters have various shapes depending on the pair of morphometric variables considered: ellipses (Fig. 3A, B), kidney shapes (Fig. 3C). This is of particular interest since according to Diday (1971), the aggregation of data points with reference to the centres of gravity and not with reference to “standard points” may lead to round-shaped clusters even when the subpopulations are in fact elongated. It appears that the small fibres have less dispersed characteristics than the large fibres for any of the variables studied, with the exception of the circularity index. In this

![Fig. 3. Scattergrams of axonal diameter vs the 4 other morphometric parameters. A: vs external diameter. B: vs sheath thickness. C: vs g-ratio. D: vs circularity index. The projections of myelinated fibres are represented with different symbols corresponding to the groups defined by the automatic classification. □, 429 small fibres, ▲, 226 large fibres.](image-url)
Fig. 4. Frequency distribution histograms of external diameter of the large and small fibre populations determined by automatic classification.

Fig. 5. Frequency distribution histograms of myelin sheath thickness of the large and small fibre populations determined by automatic classification.

TABLE IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Fascicle</th>
<th>Number of fibres</th>
<th>Mean external diameter (μm)</th>
<th>Mean axonal diameter (μm)</th>
<th>% of fibres</th>
<th>Mean external diameter (μm)</th>
<th>Mean axonal diameter (μm)</th>
<th>% of fibres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small fibres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Large fibres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>5.46 ± 2.47</td>
<td>3.56 ± 1.43</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>8.75 ± 1.36</td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.93</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>4.77 ± 3.01</td>
<td>3.17 ± 1.14</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>7.85 ± 1.19</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.89</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>567</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>5.90 ± 2.19</td>
<td>3.93 ± 1.38</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>9.45 ± 1.50</td>
<td>5.81 ± 1.19</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>4.95 ± 2.13</td>
<td>3.28 ± 1.21</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>7.53 ± 1.31</td>
<td>4.51 ± 0.94</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>6.26 ± 3.04</td>
<td>4.31 ± 1.77</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>10.20 ± 2.20</td>
<td>6.28 ± 1.61</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEAN VALUES (± STANDARD DEVIATIONS) OF THE DIAMETERS OF MYELINATED FIBRES IN FASCICLES OF FIVE CONTROL HUMAN SUPERFICIAL PERONEAL NERVES
case, the large fibres are grouped around a mean value of 0.87 ± 0.06 indicating that their shapes are not much different from a circle. In contrast to this, the circularity index of small fibres spreads from 0.4 to 0.95 expressing a broader spectrum of deformations from a circular shape. The frequency distribution histograms of external diameter and myelin sheath thickness are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The histograms of the small fibre population are clearly normally distributed. The large fibre distribution of myelin sheath thickness appears less Gaussian and less regular because of the choice of class size, but in all cases the hypothesis of Gaussian distribution has been tested and verified using the chi-square test. For all the studied variables the frequency distributions of the two myelinated fibre populations overlap. Some of the large fibres have an external diameter smaller than the mean diameter of the small fibre population (Fig. 4 and Table IV). Minimum overlap between populations is observed for the myelin sheath thickness variable. This, in conjunction with the strong correlation between this variable and the first factorial axis (Table III) stresses the importance of myelin sheath thickness as a criterion of classification of myelinated fibres. Consequently, if one wants to determine to which population a single fibre belongs by using only one morphometric variable, one should choose myelin sheath thickness.

Simulated data

Five sets of simulated data were generated with characteristics based on those of the 5 control nerves (Table IV), to which different biases were added. The classification was performed on these data and the index of classification failure was established for each. The indexes of classification failure obtained were comprised between 0.1% and 1.5% and in all cases, the error was due to simulated large fibres misclassified in the small fibre population.

Conclusion

Our method permits a good separation of the large and small fibre populations and requires a maximum of 4 morphometric variables. The resulting groups are normally distributed and consistent. In conclusion, it appears that the myelin sheath thickness is a more discriminant morphological variable than the fibre calibre (although the latter remains a convenient descriptive variable insofar as total population characteristics are concerned) and consequently the different fibre types should be named “thickly sheathed” and “thinly sheathed” rather than “large” and “small”.
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