

# Stability estimates for the inversion of the truncated Hilbert transform

Reema Al Aifari and Michel Defrise, Vrije Universiteit Brussel Alexander Katsevich, University of Central Florida

- Context: limited data reconstruction via the differentiated backprojection (DBP).
- Background: asymptotic behaviour of the SVD of the truncated Hilbert transform.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stability estimates for the inversion.

### Limited data reconstruction via the differentiated backprojection (DBP)

Consider the 2D Radon transform of a smooth function f, with the usual parallel beam parameterization

$$g(s,\phi) = (\mathcal{R}f)(s,\phi) = \int dl \ f(s \ u^{\perp}(\phi) + l \ u(\phi)) \quad ; \quad u(\phi) = (-\sin\phi,\cos\phi)$$

and the backprojection of its radial derivative over a 180 degree interval:

$$b(\mathbf{x} = (x, y)) = \int_0^{\pi} d\phi \left\{ \frac{\partial g(s, \phi)}{\partial s} \right\}_{s = \mathbf{x} \cdot u^{\perp}(\phi)}$$

Then one has the fundamental DBP relation

$$b(x,y) = (H_{1_y}f)(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi}p.v.\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \frac{f(x,y')}{y-y'}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$b(\mathbf{x} = (x, y)) = \int_0^{\pi} d\phi \left\{ \frac{\partial g(s, \phi)}{\partial s} \right\}_{s = \mathbf{x} \cdot u^{\perp}(\phi)}$$
$$b(x, y) = (H_{1_y} f)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \frac{f(x, y')}{y - y'}$$

- Similar results for fan-beam data and for cone-beam data.
- Reduces 2D or 3D problem to a family of 1D inversions of the Hilbert transform.
- Gelfand and Graev 1991, Finch 2002, Zou et al 2004, Noo et al 2005.
- Allows accurate reconstruction from limited tomographic data sets.
- ▶ Generalization: DBP over interval less than 180 degrees → sum of two Hilbert transforms.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



The limited data allow calculating the DBP within FOV.

- Left: supp(f) = (a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>) ⊂ FOV = (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>4</sub>) ⇒ closed form inversion of the finite Hilbert transform (Tricomi etc..)
- Right: supp(f) = (a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>4</sub>) overlaps with the FOV = (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>): no closed form inversion but uniqueness and stability within part of the FOV (Defrise, Noo, Clackdoyle,Kudo 2006), *Truncated Hilbert transform*.



Example with full noise-free data. Left: FBP reconstruction. Center: the DBP. Right: inverse finite Hilbert transform along each line x = cst. Grey scale: 0.9, 1.15.

► The stability for noise is similar to FBP: same backprojection, and filters have same behaviour :  $|\nu| = |i\nu|$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



Truncated data. Top left: Shifted phantom and FOV. Top right: fan-beam sinogram and FOV. Bottom: SART reconstruction, 200 iterations,  $\alpha = 0.25$ . Left: scale (0, 2). Center: scale (0.9, 1.15). Right: inverse finite Hilbert transform along each line x = cst. Grey scale: 0.9, 1.15

#### Why is the SVD important ?

The generalized solution of a linear inverse problem g = Hf is

$$f^{\dagger} = \sum_{n} \frac{\langle g_{n}, g \rangle}{\sigma_{n}} f_{n}$$

with  $g_n, f_n, \sigma_n$  the SVD of the operator (or matrix) *H*:

$$Hf_n = \sigma_n g_n$$
  
 $H^*g_n = \sigma_n f_n$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Context: limited data reconstruction via the differentiated backprojection (DBP).
- Background: asymptotic behaviour of the SVD of the truncated Hilbert transform.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Stability estimates for the inversion.

#### **SVD** of the finite Hilbert transform $(a_1 = a_2 = -1, a_3 = a_4 = 1)$ .

!! From now on, f(x) is a 1D function !!

Consider  $H_F: L^2_w(-1,1) \to L^2_w(-1,1)$ , with weighted norm  $||f||^2 = \int_{-1}^1 dy |f(y)|^2 w(y)$  with  $w(y) = 1/\sqrt{1-y^2}$ :

$$(H_F f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(y)dy}{y-x} - 1 \le x \le 1$$
(1)

The singular system is well-known (Tricomi):

• 
$$\sigma_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
  
•  $f_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sqrt{1 - y^2} U_n(x)$   
•  $g_n(x) = -\sqrt{2/\pi} T_{n+1}(x)$   
•  $H_F f_n = \sigma_n g_n, \ H_F^* g_n = \sigma_n f_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \text{ and } H_F^* T_0 = 0$ 

with  $U_n$ ,  $T_n$  the Chebyshev polynomials:  $T_n(x) = \cos n\theta$ ;  $U_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}$  with  $x = \cos \theta$ 

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへぐ

#### Asymptotic of the SVD of the truncated Hilbert transform.

Consider the truncated Hilbert problem for  $H_T : L^2(\mathcal{F}) \to L^2(\mathcal{G})$ , with  $\mathcal{F} = (a_2, a_4)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = (a_1, a_3)$ , and  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ :

$$(H_T f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{a_2}^{a_4} \frac{f(y)dy}{y-x} \quad a_1 \le x \le a_3$$

SVD:  $g_n \in L^2(a_1, a_3)$ ,  $f_n \in L^2(a_2, a_4)$  and  $H_T f_n = \sigma_n g_n$  for  $n \in Z$ ,  $\sigma_n \ge \sigma_{n+1}$ .

- Spectral properties: Al-Aifari and Katsevich (SIAM Math Anal 2014)
- Asymptotic (for  $n \to \pm \infty$ ): Al-Aifari, MD, Katsevich submitted.
- Technique used by Katsevich (Inv Prob 2010, 2011) and Katsevich and Tobvis (Inv Prob 2012) for the *interior Hilbert transform* where G C F.

#### Main tools

- A 2nd order differential operator L which commutes with  $H_T$  and hence has the same singular functions.
- The characterization of the behaviour of the solutions of Lf = λf, λ ∈ C for x → a<sub>i</sub><sup>±</sup>
- The WKB asymptotic form of these solutions for large |λ|, and the asyptotic form as x → a<sup>±</sup><sub>i</sub>.
- Characterization of the behaviour of  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  for  $x \to a_i^{\pm}$
- Enforcing these conditions restricts the values of  $\lambda$  to a countable set.

Similar approaches used for the Slepian-Pollack problem (extrapolation of band-limited signals, 1960's), the limited-angle Radon transform (Davison and Grunbaum 1980), and the finite Laplace transform (Bertero, Grunbaum, Rebolla 1986).

The differential operator,

$$L(x, d_x)\psi(x) := (P(x)\psi'(x))' + 2(x-\mu)^2\psi(x)$$

where  $P(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{4} (x - a_j)$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_j$  commutes with  $H_T$ .

 $\Rightarrow$   $H_T^*H_T$  and L have the same singular functions.

•  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are regular singular points  $\Rightarrow$  for any  $\lambda \in C$  the solutions to  $(L - \lambda)\psi = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $a_i^+$  or  $a_i^-$  are linear combinations of

$$egin{aligned} \psi_1(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j (x-a_i)^j \ \psi_2(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty d_j (x-a_i)^j + \ln |x-a_i| \psi_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

What can be said of the behaviour of the singular functions  $f_n \in L^2(a_2, a_4)$  and  $g_n \in L^2(a_1, a_3)$  of  $H_T$  ?

First note that if  $f_n$  is bounded at  $a_2$ , then

$$(H_T f_n)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{a_2}^{a_4} \frac{f_n(y)dy}{y-x}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{a_2}^{a_4} \frac{(f_n(y) - f_n(a_2))dy}{y-x} + f_n(a_2)\frac{1}{\pi} \underbrace{\log \frac{|a_4 - x|}{|x-a_2|}}$ 

has a log singularity at  $x = a_2$ .

### What can be said of the behaviour of the singular functions $f_n \in L^2(a_2, a_4)$ and $g_n \in L^2(a_1, a_3)$ of $H_T$ ?

- $f_n(x) = (1/\sigma_n)(H_T^*g_n)(x)$  is analytic outside  $(a_1, a_3) \Rightarrow f_n(x)$  bounded in  $a_4$ .
- ▶  $f_n(x)$  is bounded at  $a_2$ . Indeed if it had a log singularity at  $a_2^+$  then  $g_n(x) = (1/\sigma_n)(H_T f_n)(x)$  would not be bounded or have a log singularity there.
- ►  $f_n(x)$  being bounded at  $a_2$ ,  $g_n(x) = (1/\sigma_n)(H_T f_n)(x)$  has a log singularity there.
- the log singularities of  $g_n$  at  $a_2^+$  and at  $a_2^-$  must be matched otherwise  $f_n(x) = (1/\sigma_n)(H_T^*g_n)(x)$  could not be bounded there

 $\Rightarrow$  close to  $a_2$   $g_n(x) = g_{n,1}(x) + g_{n,2}(x) \log |x - a_2|$ 

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

with  $g_{n,1}(x)$  and  $g_{n,2}(x)$  continuous.

## What can be said of the behaviour of the singular functions $f_n \in L^2(a_2, a_4)$ and $g_n \in L^2(a_1, a_3)$ of $H_T$ ?



Example for  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 = 3$ ,  $a_3 = 6$ ,  $a_4 = 12$ . Blue:  $g_n$ . Red:  $f_n$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Summary of the properties of $f_n$ and $g_n$

- $f_n(x)$  bounded in  $a_2$  and  $a_4$ , and log singularity in  $a_3$ .
- $g_n(x)$  bounded in  $a_1$  and  $a_3$ , and log singularity in  $a_2$
- the log singularity of  $g_n$  must be matched on  $a_2^+$  and  $a_2^-$ , idem for  $f_n$  at  $a_3$ .
- $f_n$  and  $g_n$  are solutions of  $L\phi = \lambda\phi$
- $\Rightarrow$  Enforcing these conditions for  $\lambda$  large leads to a quantization

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n} = \frac{n\pi}{K_-} + O(n^{-1/2+\delta}) \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Asymptotic of the singular values obtained as (essentially)  $||H_T f_n||/||f_n||$ :

$$\sigma_n = 2e^{-n\pi K_+/K_-}(1+O(n^{-1/2+\delta})), \ n \to \infty.$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

with  $K_{-} := \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-P(x)}} dx$  and  $K_{+} := \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-P(x)}} dx$ .

Similar derivation for the asymptotic  $\sigma_{-n} \rightarrow 1$ .

#### Details on the quantification of $\lambda$

• The WKB approximation of the solutions for large  $|\lambda|$  of the eigenequation  $(L\phi)(x) - \lambda \ \phi(x) = (P(x)\phi'(x))' + 2(x - \mu)^2\phi(x) - \lambda\Phi(x) = 0$ 

where  $P(x) = \prod_{j=1}^4 (x - a_j)$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 a_j$  are linear combinations of

$$\hat{\phi}_{\pm}(z) = rac{1}{P(z)^{1/4}} e^{\pm \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{a_1}^z rac{d\xi}{\sqrt{P(\xi)}}} (1 + O(|\lambda|^{-\eta_1/2}))$$

with uniform accuracy in a region of C excluding neighborhoods of the  $a_j$ . • Close to the  $a_j$  the solutions are given in terms of Bessel functions.



#### **Results: singular values**



 $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 6, a_4 = 12$ . Logarithmic plot of the asymptotic (red line) and numerical values (blue dots) of the singular values  $\sigma_n$  tending to zero (left) and  $1 - \sigma_{-n}^2$  for the singular values  $\sigma_{-n}$  tending to 1 (right). Numerical value: Mathematica.

#### Conclusion: the asymptotic expressions are accurate even for small |n|.

#### ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへで

#### **Results: singular functions**



 $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 = 3$ ,  $a_3 = 6$ ,  $a_4 = 12$ . Plot (left) and logarithmic plot (right) of the singular function  $g_6$ . Asymptotic form (red line) and numerical values (blue line). Numerical value: Mathematica.

Conclusion: the asymptotic expressions are accurate even for small |n|.

- Context: limited data reconstruction via the differentiated backprojection (DBP).
- Background: asymptotic behaviour of the SVD of the truncated Hilbert transform.
- Stability estimates for the inversion.

Inverse problems where  $\sigma_n$  decays exponentially to 0 are severely ill-posed and untractable in practice, they lead typically to

reconstruction error 
$$\simeq \frac{C}{|\log noise|}$$

unless very strong prior knowledge is available (e.g. sparsity !).

Examples: backward heat equation, extrapolation of band-limited signals, etc.

Is there then any hope for the truncated Hilbert transform ? Yes, as shown by the numerical evidence..... but Why ?

Answer: because the singular functions  $f_n$  for small  $\sigma_n$  are small within the overlap segment  $(a_2, a_3) \Rightarrow$  we expect good stability within the overlap segment, hence stable ROI reconstruction.



 $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 6, a_4 = 12$ . Blue:  $g_n$ , red:  $f_n$ . Left: large singular value  $\sigma_n \simeq < 1$ . Right: small singular value  $\sigma_n \simeq > 0$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

How does the stability degrade as  $x \to a_3^-$ ?

Remove a small neighborhood  $\mu > 0$  at edge of ROI and study stability on  $(a_2, a_3 - \mu)$ . We use the asymptotic of  $f_n$  to show that

$$||f_n||_{(a_2,a_3-\mu)} = \left(\int_{a_2}^{a_3-\mu} dx |f_n(x)|^2\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} e^{-\beta_{\mu} n} \left(1 + O(n^{-1/2+\delta})\right)$$

with  $\beta_{\mu} = \frac{\pi}{K_{-}} \int_{a_{3}-\mu}^{a_{3}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{P(t)}} \simeq Cst \sqrt{\mu}$ 



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Singular functions  $f_n$ . Note the decreasing amplitude within the overlap ROI  $(a_2, a_3) = (3, 6)$  where the Hilbert transform is known.

### Stability of the inversion of the truncated Hilbert transform regularized by truncated SVD.

Let

- ▶  $f_{ex} \in L^2(a_2, a_4)$  be some object, and we know that  $||f_{ex}|| \leq E$  for some *E*.
- $g_{ex} = H_T f_{ex} \in L^2(a_1, a_3)$  be the noise-free data.
- g be noisy data such that  $||g g_{ex}|| \le \delta$  for some noise level  $\delta > 0$ .

Problem: find an estimate of  $f_{ex}$  on some interval  $a_2 \le x \le a_3 - \mu$ , with a small  $\mu > 0$ .

Consider the truncated SVD reconstruction  $f_M$  with cut-off index M:

$$f_M = \sum_{n=-\infty}^M \langle g, g_n \rangle \; rac{1}{\sigma_n} \; f_n$$

The reconstruction error is

$$f_{M} - f_{ex} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{M} \langle g - g_{ex}, g_{n} \rangle \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} f_{n} - \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \langle g_{ex}, g_{n} \rangle \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} f_{n}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{M} \langle g - g_{ex}, g_{n} \rangle \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} f_{n}}_{\text{Statistical error}} - \underbrace{\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \langle f_{ex}, f_{n} \rangle f_{n}}_{\text{Systematic error}}$$

where we used  $\langle g_{ex}, g_n \rangle = \langle H_T f_{ex}, g_n \rangle = \langle f_{ex}, H_T^* g_n \rangle = \sigma_n \langle f_{ex}, f_n \rangle$ . Using the triangular inequality and all assumptions,

$$||f_M - f_{ex}||_{(a_2, a_3 - \mu)} \le \delta A^{-1} e^{\alpha M} + E C_{\mu} e^{-\beta_{\mu} M}$$

with  $\alpha = \pi K_+/K_-$  and  $\beta_\mu \simeq Cst \sqrt{\mu}$  the decay rates of  $\sigma_n$  and of  $||f_n||_{(a_2,a_3-\mu)}$ .

$$||f_M - f_{ex}||_{(a_2, a_3 - \mu)} \le \delta A^{-1} e^{\alpha M} + E C_{\mu} e^{-\beta_{\mu} M}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize w.r.t. the SVD cut-off} \ \Rightarrow \textit{M}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta_{\mu}} \ \log\left(\frac{\textit{EAC}_{\mu}\beta_{\mu}}{\delta\alpha}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Stability estimate} \end{array}$ 

$$||f_{M(\delta)} - f_{ex}||_{(\mathfrak{a}_{2},\mathfrak{a}_{3}-\mu)} \leq W_{\mu} E^{\alpha/(\beta_{\mu}+\alpha)} \underbrace{\delta^{\beta_{\mu}/(\beta_{\mu}+\alpha)}}_{\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet} \xrightarrow{\delta \to 0} 0$$

Holder continuity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The inversion is regularized.

Conclusion

$$||f_{\mathcal{M}(\delta)} - f_{ex}||_{(a_2, a_3 - \mu)} \le W_{\mu} E^{\alpha/(\beta_{\mu} + \alpha)} \delta^{\beta_{\mu}/(\beta_{\mu} + \alpha)} \stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

- Hölder continuity: error  $\simeq$  (noise)<sup> $\eta$ </sup> typical of mildly ill-posed problems despite the exponential decay of  $\sigma_n \simeq e^{-\alpha n}$ .
- But this holds for the error within the segment  $(a_2, a_3 \mu)$  where the Hilbert transform is known, minus some small neighborhood  $\mu$ .
- The power decreases as  $\eta = \beta_{\mu}/(\beta_{\mu} + \alpha) \sim \sqrt{\mu}$  for small  $\mu$ .
- Result obtained for TSVD but it only depends on the used prior constraint  $||f_{ex}|| \le E$ . Same dependence for Tikhonov regularization etc.....

Open questions

- Stability bounds for other prior constraint, e.g. TV(f<sub>ex</sub>) ≤ E ? Preliminary results by Al-Aifari and Steinberger.
- Our bound is pessimistic when the ROI is far from the edge,  $a_2 < a_3 - \mu \ll a_3$ , since our  $\eta \to 1/2$  whereas one expects  $\eta \to 1$ .

### Thank you !



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ